Minutes

Mandatory Student Fee Advisory Committee Friday, January 19, 2018

- 1. <u>Attendance</u> Per attachment A, eleven members were present, with three represented by an alternate and one absent. Jonathan Clarke, one of the faculty members, was not available for all the votes, and Carson Silbert was not available for the last Health vote, but she voted by proxy in favor of the motion through Sujay Peramanu.
- 2. Review of Meeting Summary (November 27, 2017) The Committee reviewed the Meeting Summary from November 27, 2017, and no amendments were proposed. The summary was approved as posted on the website.
- 3. <u>Student Center Operations Fee Presentation</u> The last meeting ended without time for the Student Center Operations Fee to present. Lindsay Bryant updated the committee on the status of this budget and fee. The Student Center is not proposing an increase for Fiscal 2019.
 - > Skanda Prasad made a motion, seconded by Vineet Tiruvadi, to recommend to the President that there be no increase in the Student Center Operations fee for Fiscal 2019. The motion passed unanimously 11-0, with one member absent.
- 4. Consideration of Mandatory Fee Proposals for Fiscal Year 2019

NOTE: Fee Participation Forms for all fees are attached (Attachment C).

- Athletic: Carson Silbert made a motion, seconded by Skanda Prasad, to recommend to the President that there be no increase in the Athletic fee. The motion passed unanimously 11-0, with one member absent.
- Technology / Recreation Facility / Student Activity: Skanda Prasad made a motion, seconded by Sat Balachander, to recommend to the President that there be no increase in the Technology, Recreation Facility, and Student Activity Fees. The motion passed unanimously 11-0, with one member absent.
 NOTE: The committee agreed to have a separate meeting to discuss the Technology Fee how the funds are used and the process for reviewing the proposals.
- <u>CRC Operations:</u> Carson Silbert made a motion, seconded by Sujay Peramanu to keep the CRC Operations fee at the current level and not recommend the proposed \$2 increase to the President. The motion passed unanimously 11-0, with one member absent.
 <u>NOTE:</u> See the notes in Attachment B regarding a possible pilot project with one-time funding from the
 - NOTE: See the notes in Attachment B regarding a possible pilot project with one-time funding from the Student Activity Fund and the Institute general fund budget to address the proposed effort by the CRC to expand offerings outside of the CRC facility.

> Transportation:

- Vineet Tiruvadi made a motion, seconded by Sujay Peramanu, to recommend to the President that there be no increase in the Transportation fee. The motion failed by a vote of 4-6.
- Carson Silbert made a motion, seconded by Sujay Peramanu, to recommend to the President that there be \$1 increase in the Transportation fee, with the stipulation that an increase in the Green Route be examined and given preferential treatment subject to funding availability. The motion passed 9-1, with two members absent.

Mandatory Student Fee Advisory Committee Minutes, Page 2

➤ <u>Health</u>:

- O Sujay Peramanu made a motion, seconded by Vineet Tiruvadi, to recommend to the President that there be no increase in the Health Fee. After discussion Sujay withdrew this motion.
- Another proposal that was rejected following discussion was a stipulation that a \$3 increase be applied to fund the care coordinator. The logic was that the hiring of a new psychiatrist was already in process, and Stamps intended to fill this position whether they received an increase or not. This was rejected, with the understanding that Stamps was already doing its best to fund the necessary positions with existing funding.
- Sujay Peramanu made a second motion, seconded by Skanda Prasad, to recommend to the President that there be a \$3 increase in the Health Fee, with the first priority being to fund the psychiatrist position. The vote passed 9-1, with two members absent.

NOTE: Per the discussion, the recommended \$3 fee increase is approved by the students as a good faith effort to meet the administration halfway. The consensus was that the mental health initiatives are important, but that the administration should help identify the funding for these initiatives, instead of relying solely on the students. See the notes in Attachment B for more details of the discussion.

5. Adjourned – The committee adjourned after completing its work.

FISCAL 2018 COMMITTEE	
TO CONSIDER FISCAL 2019 FEES	
Friday, January 19, 2018	
Member	Attendance
Students (8 members)	
Sujay Peramanu, Undergraduate Student Body President (UG)	Present
Carson Silbert, Vice President of Finance (UG)	Present
Gage Carr - attending on behalf of Dev Mandavia, Vice President of	
Campus Services (UG)	Present
Evie Owens, Chief of Staff (UG)	Present
Skanda Prasad, Graduate Student Body President (G)	Present
Sat Balachander, Chief of Staff (G)	Present
Alec Kaye, Treasurer (G)	Present
Vineet Tiruvadi - attending on behalf of Jackson Turnbull, Senator (G)	Present
Faculty and Staff (4 members)	
Jim Kirk, Asst. VP - Inst. Budget Planning & Admin (Co-Chair, MSFAC)	Present
Stephanie Curry, Financial Mgr II, College of Sciences	Absent
Mysty Connelly - attending on behalf of Steven Girardot, Associate Vice	
Provost of Undergrad Education, VP for Undergrad Education	Present
Jonathan Clarke, Associate Professor, Sheller College of Business	Present
Support to Committee:	
Michael Cox, Institute Finance Support Team	
Other Students and Staff in Attendance (Partial List):	
	5 11

Betsey Kidwell, Edo Diabaka, Amanda Richardson, Latonya Culberson, Sherry Davidson, Glenn Kurtz, Linday Bryant, Daniel Taylor, Perry Kchao, Benjamin Holton, John Scuderi, Tamara Lyons, Barbara Hanschke, Robert Junko, Paul Strouts, Alan Bryan, David Crites, Lance Lunsway, Pat Burton, Marvin Lewis, Tina Clonts

MSFAC - Notes on Discussion and Questions on Fees

Athletics Fee:

Q. What is the outlook in future years?

A. Potential next year for an increase. Amount of request not yet determined. But, the impact would be targeted to increase the game day experience for all students. GTAA is still strategizing how this might look. GTAA looking at what kind of experiences students would want and what those would cost.

Technology Fee:

Q. What is encompassed by the Tech fee?

A. It is a supplement to go towards teaching initiatives, not research, designed to supplement and not supplant existing funds. Revenue typically funds computers and software including labs. Involves a very thorough committee review to with determine how the money should be allocated. Additional details to be provided to on how the money was spent in the past and how the committee is run and structured. Committee just getting organized to develop recommendations for Fiscal 2019. Additional details on tracking of expenses how the money was actually spent versus proposed was requested as well.

Student Activity Fee:

Skanda Comment: While no student activity fee increase is included this year, there may be an increase requested next year. Based on trend of increasing costs. Great demand on funding available.

CRC Operations Fee (comments only):

Skanda: \$2 increase request for CRC – SGA sees the need for new activities outside of CRC to bring in more people to the CRC. However, would like to see a pilot program put into place first. This could be done with existing resources and SGA would support a pilot. If there is a need an increase could be revisited in the future.

Jim Kirk: CRC is not an auxiliary, so funding is a mix; they receive a general fund allocation (technically Resident Instruction/ General Operations). During budget cuts CRC also took an inordinate "hit" in its general fund allocation. Perhaps one-time Gen Ops money could be used to help support the pilot program, paired with SGA funding to avoid approaching the BOR for the minor \$2 increase for this effort.

Jonathon Clarke: Going rate for a gym membership is \$45. Personal training is \$50. Can the CRC take a look at the fees to faculty and staff so that they could help support the increased cost? Perry Kchao reaffirmed it would be something they look at.

Transportation Fee:

- Q. What would an impact of a \$1 increase versus \$2 increase for maintaining current levels?
- A. It will depend on what contractor Groome decides to do. They can request an increase up to 5%.
- **Q.** What has been the typical increase?
- A. The average has been 3.14%.

- **Q.** Are you expecting any surprises in this year's increase?
- A. No.
- **Q.** What do you expect would be the worst case scenario?
- A. Cuts to services, while trying to minimize impact to students and reliance and upon and reduction in reserves.
- **Q.** Could you increase green route but, not trolley?
- A. P&T would need to make a determination of whether that would be possible.
- **Q.** Would a \$2 increase help to avoid an increased deficit?
- A. It would be close.
- Q. Can the \$200,000 for the autonomous vehicle be diverted?
- A. That money came out of equal revenue and expenses and can't be diverted. Funding from Institute budget.
- Q. Possible increase in the allocation coming from the Institute to pay for visitors, faculty, and staff?
- **A.** Current allocation = 17%, and the Institute would have to decide on this amount as part of the FY19 budget. P&T surveys the population to determine how many ride it.
- **Q.** What is the weight factor being used to count ridership? Is this accurate?
- **A.** It is based on 17% of the total cost. The survey determines the level of ridership of faculty and staff and has been consistently between 15-18% every year. P&T has the most accurate data they can get without counting everyone, which would slow down the buses.
- Q. The reserves shown is \$488K. How much of this would be used for operations, and what level is desirable?
- **A.** Would like to have a 2.5 years of operating income as a reserve.

Carson: Fund enough to keep them out of a deficit going into negotiations for the new contract. We should give them \$1 increase because a \$0 increase could create bigger issues.

Vineet: 15-18% allocation for faculty and staff should be revisited. Tuition for grads is often covered by grants.

Evie: I agree with Carson. We will need increases in the future, and it is best to distribute them over the years.

Skanda: Grads only use Trolley and Green Route. There is a disproportionate level of service for undergrads versus grads. Grads are against increasing costs. We should look at increases in Green route.

Health Fee:

Sujay: I worked with the mental health task force team. I agreed with the five things the task force highlighted that need to be improved. But, this seems like the wrong way to fix it. The GT Leadership has shown that they can make funds available if they feel they are strongly needed. The money to help fix this issue should be found elsewhere and not passed to students. There should be a more dedicated approach from the administration on how to get money to fund these initiatives. Administration created situation where the students have to pay for recommended actions. Unfair to put the students in this stressful position. The health fee increased \$5 last year and asking for \$9 this year. Where does the buck stop? Creates an out for GT not to look at their own books and make the cuts so these initiatives can be funded.

Jim Kirk: STAMPS has been funded through the student fees and their fees for services, but not through general fund resources. Other Health and Wellness programs receive general funds. There isn't much room in the budget in FY19 for new initiatives, although the FY19 budget process will continue the practice of internally shifting funds to important initiatives. Also, pushing funding of items to the general fund also involves students, since tuition and fees cover over half of the budget.

Vineet: Appreciate the thought that went into to the mental task force initiative. There is a culture of stress on students, and it manifest itself and the administration knows this and they must identify and fund the solution. The action team was good at listening. This isn't what fixing the problems looks like. Where is the money coming from?

Ben Holton: STAMPs is funded only through the Health fee. It does not get RI funding. Health and Well-Being does not fund STAMPs. Psychiatrists are expensive, and we have already started the process of hiring a new one. If we don't received an increase, this will create a deficit and will have to lower services. Encourages students to not look at the amount, but rather, the value they receive for the funds. STAMPS is a much lower cost than other health care services and they are getting good value for their dollar.

Q&A. Ranked needs? 1. Psychiatrist. 2. Care Coordinator

Q. Last year money was given to increase by two coordinators? There were already two with funding for two more. What is current staffing?

A. Currently 3 Care Coordinators and 5 Psychiatrists (3.5 FTEs – some are part-time). We hired two health educators, along with the care coordinator.

Health's Follow-up Response: During the MSFAC meeting there was some confusion about the previous year's health fee increase and what it was to fund. The previous year's health fee increase was to fund 2 health educators (in Health Initiatives) and 1 care coordinator (in Psychiatry clinic). This fee increase was used to increase our care coordinators in Psychiatry from 2 to 3, which is our current level of staffing. It was used to fund two additional health educators in Health Initiatives, as it was intended when approved by the students.

Also, I need to correct the figure I gave during the meeting regarding number of psychiatrists we have at Stamps. We have 6 psychiatrists, contributing 4.0 FTE's.

NOTE: Discussion with student leaders after the meeting indicated that in the future programs receiving fee increases need to be asked specifically how the new funding was used and what positions have been added outside of the request presented to the committee.

Q. Explanation of reserves balances and plans for future use on non-R&R balances?

A. Unclear response by Stamps on their financial position. Per John Scuderi, they are in a deficit position, although the Total "available fund balance" for reserves as of 6/30/2017 shown on BOR form as \$702,223. A further explanation of the Stamps overall financial status of is needed.

Health's Follow-up Response: The Financial Data sheet submitted to MSFAC based on budget data shows a projected cash flow deficit for 2018 of \$268,315 [Surplus(Deficit) minus depreciation]. Current projections of our cash flow for FY18 based on actual expenses and revenue through the first 6 months of the fiscal year project a lower deficit of \$20,000, but still a deficit. The projected deficit based on actual figures is lower than budgeted figures because of salary savings from unfilled positions and measures taken to increase revenues, particularly in the pharmacy. Future projections show that our cash flow will continue to be negative assuming no increases in the health fee occur. It is true that our available fund balance for reserves is \$702,223, but these funds are not used for day to day operation of the clinic. Instead, they are used for renewal and replacement of assets. We

anticipate almost \$500,000 of expenditures from reserves in the next 3 years for maintenance or replacement of IT infrastructure, lab equipment, x-ray equipment, and facility upgrades.

Q. Are pay raises included in projections? If so, why do base salary projections go down next year?

A. Per Barbara Hanschke, a 3% pay raise was included in all Auxiliary budget projections. This is minor for Transportation but significant for Stamps. Despite including a 3% increase, FY19 PS for Health is shown to decrease. Health provided a possible explanation of why PS went down – custodians moved to a contractual basis. This does not seem to explain the full drop in the PS. Stamps staff could not adequately explain, and more information is needed. Committee staff will explore and provide more information to the committee.

Health's Follow-up Response: The FY19 PS amount does include a 3% increase in salary for Stamps personnel based on Stamps current personnel, which equaled at total of \$152,331. Since that FY18budget was created, Dr. Moore has gone to .5FTE, the position of Director of Primary Care has been eliminated, the director of Health Promotion position has been changed and replaced with a lower salaried position, and Stamps has changed to a contract service for custodial services, removing 2 salaries from the personal services category. The 3% pay increase is more than offset by the reductions in salaries of the positions listed, accounting for the reduced total salary figure in FY19 compared to FY18.

Q. Can we look at overhead costs as a way to help out? Leadership can help provide a break to health fee via an overhead fee reduction.

A. Internally within auxiliary programs, the overhead charged by the Institute is allocated as a percentage of total revenue for all auxiliaries. Also, overhead pertaining to internal Campus Services support is allocated to programs, including Health. These funds are budgeted in "other operating" – Auxiliary Admin OH (\$213K) plus Institute Overhead (\$427K) The Institute allocation covers the overall administrative support received by auxiliary programs. Reducing this is not feasible, since revenue generating departments must cover their fair shares.

Attachment C

BOR Fee Participation Forms



In January 2010, the Board of Regents amended Section 7.3.2.1 of the Policy Manual to expand student involvement in the review of mandatory student fees. According to the amended policy:

Proposals submitted by an institution to increase mandatory student fees and proposals to create new mandatory student fees shall first be presented for advice and counsel to a committee at each institution composed of at least fifty percent (50%) students, except in special circumstances when a general purpose fee is instituted system-wide by the Board of Regents. The committee shall include at least four students, who shall be appointed by the institution's student government association. Institutions and student government associations should make a concerted effort to include broad representation among the students appointed to the committee.

All mandatory student fees collected by an institution shall be budgeted and administered by the president using proper administrative procedures, which shall include the advice and counsel of an advisory committee composed at least fifty percent (50%) students, except in special circumstances when a general purpose fee is instituted system-wide by the Board of Regents. The committee shall include at least four students, who shall be appointed by the institution's student government association. Institutions and student government associations should make a concerted effort to include broad representation among the students appointed to the committee.

Mandatory student fees shall be used exclusively to support the institution's mission to enrich the educational, institutional, and cultural experience of students. All payments from funds supported by student mandatory fees shall be made according to approved business procedures and the appropriate business practices of the institution.

The policy, therefore, requires that:

- (1) The mandatory student fee request and budget for all mandatory student fees must be presented to an institutional committee.
- (2) 50% of the committee shall be students appointed by the student government association (minimum of four students).
- (3) The institutional committee shall serve in an advisory and counseling capacity.
- (4) Approval of the committee is NOT required for review of the fee request by the Board of Regents Central Office. However, no fee request will be reviewed without a completed and signed form.

Instit	ution: Georgia Institute of Technology	Name of Mandatory	Fee: Athletic	S			
Select Action: □New Fee □ Fee Increase □ Fee Decrease □ Mo Change □ Other (please specify)		New Fee Amount Fee Inc./(Dec.) Amount	\$ 127 \$ 0	Ø Bud	lget Re	viewed by C	ommittee
Com	nittee Name: Mandatory Student Fee Advisory Comittee	Vote Date: 1/19/20	18		V	ote Results	
	Name of Committee member	Affiliation (Faculty,	Staff, Student)	Yes	No	Abstain	Absent
1	Sujay Peramanu	Student		1			
2	Carson Silbert	Student		1			
3	Gage Carr alternate for Dev Mandavia	Student		1			
4	Evie Owens	Student		1			
5	Skanda Prasad	Student		1			
6	Sat Balachander	Student		1			
7	Alec Kaye	Student		1			P
8	Vineet Tiruvadi alternate for Jackson Turnbull	Student		1			
9	Jim Kirk	Staff		1			
10	Jonathan Clarke	Faculty	_	1			
11	Mysty Connelly alternate for Steven Girardot	Faculty		1			
12	Stephanie Curry	Staff					√
		Total		11			1

Ja Elul	1/26/2018	Puch	West 1:30.18
Signed: Committee Chair	Date	Chief Business Officer	Date



In January 2010, the Board of Regents amended Section 7.3.2.1 of the Policy Manual to expand student involvement in the review of mandatory student fees. According to the amended policy:

Proposals submitted by an institution to increase mandatory student fees and proposals to create new mandatory student fees shall first be presented for advice and counsel to a committee at each institution composed of at least fifty percent (50%) students, except in special circumstances when a general purpose fee is instituted system-wide by the Board of Regents. The committee shall include at least four students, who shall be appointed by the institution's student government association. Institutions and student government associations should make a concerted effort to include broad representation among the students appointed to the committee.

All mandatory student fees collected by an institution shall be budgeted and administered by the president using proper administrative procedures, which shall include the advice and counsel of an advisory committee composed at least fifty percent (50%) students, except in special circumstances when a general purpose fee is instituted system-wide by the Board of Regents. The committee shall include at least four students, who shall be appointed by the institution's student government association. Institutions and student government associations should make a concerted effort to include broad representation among the students appointed to the committee.

Mandatory student fees shall be used exclusively to support the institution's mission to enrich the educational, institutional, and cultural experience of students. All payments from funds supported by student mandatory fees shall be made according to approved business procedures and the appropriate business practices of the institution.

The policy, therefore, requires that:

- (1) The mandatory student fee request and budget for all mandatory student fees must be presented to an institutional committee.
- (2) 50% of the committee shall be students appointed by the student government association (minimum of four students).
- (3) The institutional committee shall serve in an advisory and counseling capacity.
- (4) Approval of the committee is NOT required for review of the fee request by the Board of Regents Central Office. However, no fee request will be reviewed without a completed and signed form.

Instit	ution: Georgia Institute of Technology	Name of Mandatory	Fee: CRC O	perati	ions			
	Action: □New Fee □ Fee Increase □Fee Decrease hange □ Other (please specify)	New Fee Amount Fee Inc./(Dec.) Amount	71 Dudget			Reviewed by Committee		
	nittee Name: Mandatory Student Fee Advisory Comittee	Vote Date: 1/19/201	18		Vo	ote Results		
	Name of Committee member	Affiliation (Faculty,	Staff, Student)	Yes	No	Abstain	Absent	
1	Sujay Peramanu	Student		√				
2	Carson Silbert	Student		√				
3	Gage Carr alternate for Dev Mandavia	Student		\				
4	Evie Owens	Student		\				
5	Skanda Prasad	Student		√				
6	Sat Balachander	Student		\				
7	Alec Kaye	Student		\				
8	Vineet Tiruvadi alternate for Jackson Turnbull	Student		\				
9	Jim Kirk	Staff		\				
10	Jonathan Clarke	Faculty		√				
11	Mysty Connelly alternate for Steven Girardot	Faculty		√				
12	Stephanie Curry	Staff					✓	
		Total		11			1	

9 Elul	1/26/2018	My Wellet	1.20.10
Signed: Committee Chair	Date Chie	f Business Officer	Date



In January 2010, the Board of Regents amended Section 7.3.2.1 of the Policy Manual to expand student involvement in the review of mandatory student fees. According to the amended policy:

Proposals submitted by an institution to increase mandatory student fees and proposals to create new mandatory student fees shall first be presented for advice and counsel to a committee at each institution composed of at least fifty percent (50%) students, except in special circumstances when a general purpose fee is instituted system-wide by the Board of Regents. The committee shall include at least four students, who shall be appointed by the institution's student government association. Institutions and student government associations should make a concerted effort to include broad representation among the students appointed to the committee.

All mandatory student fees collected by an institution shall be budgeted and administered by the president using proper administrative procedures, which shall include the advice and counsel of an advisory committee composed at least fifty percent (50%) students, except in special circumstances when a general purpose fee is instituted system-wide by the Board of Regents. The committee shall include at least four students, who shall be appointed by the institution's student government association. Institutions and student government associations should make a concerted effort to include broad representation among the students appointed to the committee.

Mandatory student fees shall be used exclusively to support the institution's mission to enrich the educational, institutional, and cultural experience of students. All payments from funds supported by student mandatory fees shall be made according to approved business procedures and the appropriate business practices of the institution.

The policy, therefore, requires that:

- (1) The mandatory student fee request and budget for all mandatory student fees must be presented to an institutional committee.
- (2) 50% of the committee shall be students appointed by the student government association (minimum of four students).
- (3) The institutional committee shall serve in an advisory and counseling capacity.
- (4) Approval of the committee is NOT required for review of the fee request by the Board of Regents Central Office. However, no fee request will be reviewed without a completed and signed form.

Instit	ution: Georgia Institute of Technology	Name of Mandatory	Fee: Health						
. Access with a section as	Action: New Fee Fee Increase Fee Decrease	New Fee Amount Fee Inc./(Dec.) Amount				☑ Budget Reviewed by Committee			
Comr	nittee Name: Mandatory Student Fee Advisory Comittee	Vote Date: 1/19/201	18		V	ote Results			
	Name of Committee member	Affiliation (Faculty,	Staff, Student)	Yes	No	Abstain	Absent		
1	Sujay Peramanu	Student		√					
2	Carson Silbert by proxy through Sujay Peramanu	Student		1					
3	Gage Carr alternate for Dev Mandavia	Student		1					
4	Evie Owens	Student		\					
5	Skanda Prasad	Student		√					
6	Sat Balachander	Student		1					
7	Alec Kaye	Student		1					
8	Vineet Tiruvadi alternate for Jackson Turnbull	Student			√				
9	Jim Kirk	Staff		√					
10	Jonathan Clarke	Faculty					1		
11	Mysty Connelly alternate for Steven Girardot	Faculty		√					
12	Stephanie Curry	Staff					√		
		Total /	7	9	1		2		

2 Ely	1/26/2018	Dudust	1.30.18
Signed Committee Chair	Date	Chief Rusiness Officer	Date



In January 2010, the Board of Regents amended Section 7.3.2.1 of the Policy Manual to expand student involvement in the review of mandatory student fees. According to the amended policy:

Proposals submitted by an institution to increase mandatory student fees and proposals to create new mandatory student fees shall first be presented for advice and counsel to a committee at each institution composed of at least fifty percent (50%) students, except in special circumstances when a general purpose fee is instituted system-wide by the Board of Regents. The committee shall include at least four students, who shall be appointed by the institution's student government association. Institutions and student government associations should make a concerted effort to include broad representation among the students appointed to the committee.

All mandatory student fees collected by an institution shall be budgeted and administered by the president using proper administrative procedures, which shall include the advice and counsel of an advisory committee composed at least fifty percent (50%) students, except in special circumstances when a general purpose fee is instituted system-wide by the Board of Regents. The committee shall include at least four students, who shall be appointed by the institution's student government association. Institutions and student government associations should make a concerted effort to include broad representation among the students appointed to the committee.

Mandatory student fees shall be used exclusively to support the institution's mission to enrich the educational, institutional, and cultural experience of students. All payments from funds supported by student mandatory fees shall be made according to approved business procedures and the appropriate business practices of the institution.

The policy, therefore, requires that:

- (1) The mandatory student fee request and budget for all mandatory student fees must be presented to an institutional committee.
- (2) 50% of the committee shall be students appointed by the student government association (minimum of four students).
- (3) The institutional committee shall serve in an advisory and counseling capacity.
- (4) Approval of the committee is NOT required for review of the fee request by the Board of Regents Central Office. However, no fee request will be reviewed without a completed and signed form.

Instit	ution: Georgia Institute of Technology	Name of Mandatory	Fee: Student	Activ	vity		
	Action: New Fee Fee Increase Fee Decrease hange Other (please specify)	New Fee Amount Fee Inc./(Dec.) Amount	\$40 \$0	Ø Bud	lget Re	viewed by C	ommittee
Comr	nittee Name: Mandatory Student Fee Advisory Comittee	Vote Date: 1/19/201	18		V	ote Results	
	Name of Committee member	Affiliation (Faculty,	Staff, Student)	Yes	No	Abstain	Absent
1	Sujay Peramanu	Student		1		,	
2	Carson Silbert	Student		1			
3	Gage Carr alternate for Dev Mandavia	Student		1			
4	Evie Owens	Student		√			
5	Skanda Prasad	Student		1			
6	Sat Balachander	Student		1			1
7	Alec Kaye	Student		1			
8	Vineet Tiruvadi alternate for Jackson Turnbull	Student	¥	1			
9	Jim Kirk	Staff		1			
10	Jonathan Clarke	Faculty		1			
11	Mysty Connelly alternate for Steven Girardot	Faculty		1			
12	Stephanie Curry	Staff					√
		Total	7	11			1

JElus.	1/26/218	My West	1.30.18
Signed: Committee Chair	Date Chi	ef Business Officer	Date



In January 2010, the Board of Regents amended Section 7.3.2.1 of the Policy Manual to expand student involvement in the review of mandatory student fees. According to the amended policy:

Proposals submitted by an institution to increase mandatory student fees and proposals to create new mandatory student fees shall first be presented for advice and counsel to a committee at each institution composed of at least fifty percent (50%) students, except in special circumstances when a general purpose fee is instituted system-wide by the Board of Regents. The committee shall include at least four students, who shall be appointed by the institution's student government association. Institutions and student government associations should make a concerted effort to include broad representation among the students appointed to the committee.

All mandatory student fees collected by an institution shall be budgeted and administered by the president using proper administrative procedures, which shall include the advice and counsel of an advisory committee composed at least fifty percent (50%) students, except in special circumstances when a general purpose fee is instituted system-wide by the Board of Regents. The committee shall include at least four students, who shall be appointed by the institution's student government association. Institutions and student government associations should make a concerted effort to include broad representation among the students appointed to the committee.

Mandatory student fees shall be used exclusively to support the institution's mission to enrich the educational, institutional, and cultural experience of students. All payments from funds supported by student mandatory fees shall be made according to approved business procedures and the appropriate business practices of the institution.

The policy, therefore, requires that:

- (1) The mandatory student fee request and budget for all mandatory student fees must be presented to an institutional committee.
- (2) 50% of the committee shall be students appointed by the student government association (minimum of four students).
- (3) The institutional committee shall serve in an advisory and counseling capacity.
- (4) Approval of the committee is NOT required for review of the fee request by the Board of Regents Central Office. However, no fee request will be reviewed without a completed and signed form.

Please complete this form for all mandatory student fees.

Instit	ution: Georgia Institute of Technology	Name of Mandatory	Fee: Student	Cen	ter C	peratio	ns	
Scient Action. Dien ree Directiciense Dree Deciense		New Fee Amount Fee Inc./(Dec.) Amount	\$ 32 \$ 0	Ø Bud	☑ Budget Reviewed by Committee			
Com	mittee Name: Mandatory Student Fee Advisory Comittee	Vote Date: 1/19/201	18		V	ote Results		
	Name of Committee member	Affiliation (Faculty,	Staff, Student)	Yes	No	Abstain	Absent	
1	Sujay Peramanu	Student		√				
2	Carson Silbert	Student		1				
3	Gage Carr alternate for Dev Mandavia	Student		\				
4	Evie Owens	Student		1				
5	Skanda Prasad	Student		\				
6	Sat Balachander	Student		\				
7	Alec Kaye	Student		\				
8	Vineet Tiruvadi alternate for Jackson Turnbull	Student		1				
9	Jim Kirk	Staff		\				
10	Jonathan Clarke	Faculty		1				
11	Mysty Connelly alternate for Steven Girardot	Faculty		\				
12	Stephanie Curry	Staff					\checkmark	
		Total /		11			1	

SElul	1/26/2018	Que Mut	1.30.18
Committee Chain	Data Chief	Duginass Officer	Data

Signed: Committee Chair

Date Chief Business Officer

Date



In January 2010, the Board of Regents amended Section 7.3.2.1 of the Policy Manual to expand student involvement in the review of mandatory student fees. According to the amended policy:

Proposals submitted by an institution to increase mandatory student fees and proposals to create new mandatory student fees shall first be presented for advice and counsel to a committee at each institution composed of at least fifty percent (50%) students, except in special circumstances when a general purpose fee is instituted system-wide by the Board of Regents. The committee shall include at least four students, who shall be appointed by the institution's student government association. Institutions and student government associations should make a concerted effort to include broad representation among the students appointed to the committee.

All mandatory student fees collected by an institution shall be budgeted and administered by the president using proper administrative procedures, which shall include the advice and counsel of an advisory committee composed at least fifty percent (50%) students, except in special circumstances when a general purpose fee is instituted system-wide by the Board of Regents. The committee shall include at least four students, who shall be appointed by the institution's student government association. Institutions and student government associations should make a concerted effort to include broad representation among the students appointed to the committee.

Mandatory student fees shall be used exclusively to support the institution's mission to enrich the educational, institutional, and cultural experience of students. All payments from funds supported by student mandatory fees shall be made according to approved business procedures and the appropriate business practices of the institution.

The policy, therefore, requires that:

- (1) The mandatory student fee request and budget for all mandatory student fees must be presented to an institutional committee.
- (2) 50% of the committee shall be students appointed by the student government association (minimum of four students).
- (3) The institutional committee shall serve in an advisory and counseling capacity.
- (4) Approval of the committee is NOT required for review of the fee request by the Board of Regents Central Office. However, no fee request will be reviewed without a completed and signed form.

Instit	ution: Georgia Institute of Technology	Name of Mandatory	Fee: Student	Rec	reati	on Facil	lity
Scient Action. Divew ree Directicase Dree Decrease		New Fee Amount Fee Inc./(Dec.) Amount	\$ 54 \$ 0	Ø Bud	lget Re	viewed by C	ommittee
Com	nittee Name: Mandatory Student Fee Advisory Comittee	Vote Date: 1/19/201	18		Ve	ote Results	
	Name of Committee member	Affiliation (Faculty,	Staff, Student)	Yes	No	Abstain	Absent
1	Sujay Peramanu	Student		√			
2	Carson Silbert	Student		\			
3	Gage Carr alternate for Dev Mandavia	Student		\			
4	Evie Owens	Student		\			
5	Skanda Prasad	Student		\			
6	Sat Balachander	Student		\			
7	Alec Kaye	Student		1			
8	Vineet Tiruvadi alternate for Jackson Turnbull	Student		1			
9	Jim Kirk	Staff		1			2
10	Jonathan Clarke	Faculty		√			
11	Mysty Connelly alternate for Steven Girardot	Faculty		1			
12	Stephanie Curry	Staff					1
	-	Total		11			-1

& Elml	1/26/2018	aly Meal	1.30.18
igned: Committee Chair	Date Chie	ef Business Officer	Date



In January 2010, the Board of Regents amended Section 7.3.2.1 of the Policy Manual to expand student involvement in the review of mandatory student fees. According to the amended policy:

Proposals submitted by an institution to increase mandatory student fees and proposals to create new mandatory student fees shall first be presented for advice and counsel to a committee at each institution composed of at least fifty percent (50%) students, except in special circumstances when a general purpose fee is instituted system-wide by the Board of Regents. The committee shall include at least four students, who shall be appointed by the institution's student government association. Institutions and student government associations should make a concerted effort to include broad representation among the students appointed to the committee.

All mandatory student fees collected by an institution shall be budgeted and administered by the president using proper administrative procedures, which shall include the advice and counsel of an advisory committee composed at least fifty percent (50%) students, except in special circumstances when a general purpose fee is instituted system-wide by the Board of Regents. The committee shall include at least four students, who shall be appointed by the institution's student government association. Institutions and student government associations should make a concerted effort to include broad representation among the students appointed to the committee.

Mandatory student fees shall be used exclusively to support the institution's mission to enrich the educational, institutional, and cultural experience of students. All payments from funds supported by student mandatory fees shall be made according to approved business procedures and the appropriate business practices of the institution.

The policy, therefore, requires that:

- (1) The mandatory student fee request and budget for all mandatory student fees must be presented to an institutional committee.
- (2) 50% of the committee shall be students appointed by the student government association (minimum of four students).
- (3) The institutional committee shall serve in an advisory and counseling capacity.
- (4) Approval of the committee is NOT required for review of the fee request by the Board of Regents Central Office. However, no fee request will be reviewed without a completed and signed form.

Instit	ution: Georgia Institute of Technology	Name of Mandatory	Fee: Techno	logy			
Select Action: New Fee Fee Increase Fee Decrease No Change Other (please specify) Committee Name: Mandatory Student Fee Advisory Comittee		New Fee Amount Fee Inc./(Dec.) Amount	\$ 107 \$ 0	☑ Budget Reviewed by Committe			
		Vote Date: 1/19/2018		Vote Results			
	Name of Committee member	Affiliation (Faculty,	Staff, Student)	Yes	No	Abstain	Absent
1	Sujay Peramanu	Student		√			
2	Carson Silbert	Student		1			
3	Gage Carr alternate for Dev Mandavia	Student		1			
4	Evie Owens	Student		1			
5	Skanda Prasad	Student		1			
6	Sat Balachander	Student		1			
7	Alec Kaye	Student		1			
8	Vineet Tiruvadi alternate for Jackson Turnbull	Student		1			
9	Jim Kirk	Staff		√			
10	Jonathan Clarke	Faculty		1			
11	Mysty Connelly alternate for Steven Girardot	Faculty		1			
12	Stephanie Curry	Staff		•			√
		Total		11			1

Selul	1/26/2018	Myrellus	t 1.30.18
Signed: Committee Chair	Date	Chief Business Officer	Date



In January 2010, the Board of Regents amended Section 7.3.2.1 of the Policy Manual to expand student involvement in the review of mandatory student fees. According to the amended policy:

Proposals submitted by an institution to increase mandatory student fees and proposals to create new mandatory student fees shall first be presented for advice and counsel to a committee at each institution composed of at least fifty percent (50%) students, except in special circumstances when a general purpose fee is instituted system-wide by the Board of Regents. The committee shall include at least four students, who shall be appointed by the institution's student government association. Institutions and student government associations should make a concerted effort to include broad representation among the students appointed to the committee.

All mandatory student fees collected by an institution shall be budgeted and administered by the president using proper administrative procedures, which shall include the advice and counsel of an advisory committee composed at least fifty percent (50%) students, except in special circumstances when a general purpose fee is instituted system-wide by the Board of Regents. The committee shall include at least four students, who shall be appointed by the institution's student government association. Institutions and student government associations should make a concerted effort to include broad representation among the students appointed to the committee.

Mandatory student fees shall be used exclusively to support the institution's mission to enrich the educational, institutional, and cultural experience of students. All payments from funds supported by student mandatory fees shall be made according to approved business procedures and the appropriate business practices of the institution.

The policy, therefore, requires that:

- (1) The mandatory student fee request and budget for all mandatory student fees must be presented to an institutional committee.
- (2) 50% of the committee shall be students appointed by the student government association (minimum of four students).
- (3) The institutional committee shall serve in an advisory and counseling capacity.
- (4) Approval of the committee is NOT required for review of the fee request by the Board of Regents Central Office. However, no fee request will be reviewed without a completed and signed form.

Please complete this form for all mandatory student fees.

Institution: Georgia Institute of Technology		Name of Mandatory Fee: Transportation					
Select Action: □New Fee ☑ Fee Increase □Fee Decrease □No Change □ Other (please specify)		New Fee Amount Fee Inc./(Dec.) Amount	\$86 \$1	☑ Budget Reviewed by Committe			ommittee
Committee Name: Mandatory Student Fee Advisory Comittee		Vote Date: 1/19/2018		Vote Results			
	Name of Committee member Affiliation (Faculty, Staff, Student)		Staff, Student)	Yes	No	Abstain	Absent
1	Sujay Peramanu	Student		√			
2	Carson Silbert	Student	Student		7.		
3	Gage Carr alternate for Dev Mandavia	Student		1			
4	Evie Owens	Student		1			
5	Skanda Prasad	Student		1			
6	Sat Balachander	Student		\			
7	Alec Kaye	Student		1			
8	Vineet Tiruvadi alternate for Jackson Turnbull	Student			1		
9	Jim Kirk	Staff		1			
10	Jonathan Clarke	Faculty					\
11	Mysty Connelly alternate for Steven Girardot	Faculty		√			
12	Stephanie Curry	Staff					√
		Total		9	1		2

g Eluf	1/26/2018	4	Werblut	1.30.2018
			0.00	

Signed: Committee Chair

Date Chief Business Officer

Date